

Roope Anttila joint with B. Bárány and A. Käenmäki 16.05.2024

AGENT Forum 2024

Figure: Natural fractals

Objective in fractal geometry is to quantify size and complexity of fractals

Figure: Natural fractals

- Objective in fractal geometry is to quantify size and complexity of fractals
- ▶ Fractals are sets with a complicated and detailed structure at arbitrarily small scales

Figure: Natural fractals

- Objective in fractal geometry is to quantify size and complexity of fractals
- ▶ Fractals are sets with a complicated and detailed structure at arbitrarily small scales
- Often fractals exhibit a (approximately) self-similar structure

▶ Notions of size from classical geometry such as Lebesgue measure do often not give meaningful information about fractals.

- ▶ Notions of size from classical geometry such as Lebesgue measure do often not give meaningful information about fractals.
- ▶ Most common way to measure size in fractal geometry is via various notions of fractal dimension.

Different notions of dimension quantify in different ways how difficult it is to cover the set.

Different notions of dimension quantify in different ways how difficult it is to cover the set.

▶ Box dimension: The number $s \ge 0$, such that the number of r-balls needed to cover the set is (up to a constant) r^{-s} as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Different notions of dimension quantify in different ways how difficult it is to cover the set.

- ▶ Box dimension: The number $s \ge 0$, such that the number of r-balls needed to cover the set is (up to a constant) r^{-s} as $r \rightarrow 0$
- \triangleright Other examples include Hausdorff dimension, Packing dimension, Intermediate dimensions, local dimensions, Fourier dimension, Assouad dimension, Assouad spectrum,...

Different notions of dimension quantify in different ways how difficult it is to cover the set.

- ▶ Box dimension: The number $s \geqslant 0$, such that the number of r-balls needed to cover the set is (up to a constant) r^{-s} as $r \rightarrow 0$.
- \triangleright Other examples include Hausdorff dimension, Packing dimension, Intermediate dimensions, local dimensions, Fourier dimension, Assouad dimension, Assouad spectrum,...

Question

What is the dimension of X ?

Different notions of dimension quantify in different ways how difficult it is to cover the set.

- ▶ Box dimension: The number $s \geqslant 0$, such that the number of r-balls needed to cover the set is (up to a constant) r^{-s} as $r \rightarrow 0$.
- \triangleright Other examples include Hausdorff dimension, Packing dimension, Intermediate dimensions, local dimensions, Fourier dimension, Assouad dimension, Assouad spectrum,...

Question

What is the dimension of X ?

We will study this question for Assouad dimension of self-affine sets.

Attractors of iterated function systems are a simple way to model fractals.

Attractors of iterated function systems are a simple way to model fractals.

A finite collection $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of contractive self-maps of \mathbb{R}^d is called an iterated function system (IFS).

Attractors of iterated function systems are a simple way to model fractals.

A finite collection $\{\varphi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of contractive self-maps of \mathbb{R}^d is called an iterated function system (IFS).

Theorem (Hutchinson, 1981)

Every IFS has a unique non-empty and compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying

$$
X=\bigcup_{i=1}^m\varphi_i(X).
$$

This set is called the attractor or the limit set of the IFS.

Figure: The Cantor set

University of Oulu $16.05.2024$ (5/24)

Figure: The Sierpinski triangle

Roope Anttila **Community Community of Oulu** 16.05.2024 (6/24)

Figure: The Barnsley fern

University of Oulu $\overline{16.05.2024}$ (7/24)

Figure: An overlapping self-similar set

Figure: A non-linear IFS

- \blacktriangleright The general case is extremely difficult to handle.
- ▶ To make life easier, on imposes restrictions on

 \blacktriangleright The general case is extremely difficult to handle. ▶ To make life easier, on imposes restrictions on (i) The regularity of the maps φ_i in the IFS

 \blacktriangleright The general case is extremely difficult to handle. ▶ To make life easier, on imposes restrictions on (i) The regularity of the maps φ_i in the IFS (ii) The amount of overlap between the images $\varphi_i(X)$.

Self-affine sets

A finite collection $\{\varphi_i(x) = A_i x + t_i\}_{i=1}^M$ of invertible contractive affine self-maps on \mathbb{R}^2 is called a self-affine iterated function system (affine IFS).

Self-affine sets

- A finite collection $\{\varphi_i(x) = A_i x + t_i\}_{i=1}^M$ of invertible contractive affine self-maps on \mathbb{R}^2 is called a self-affine iterated function system (affine IFS).
- In this case the limit set X is called a self-affine set.

Figure: A Bedford-McMullen carpet

University of Oulu $\overline{16.05.2024}$ (12/24)

Figure: The Takagi function

Weak tangents

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact and $\mathcal{T}_{x,r} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a similarity taking $Q(x,r) \coloneqq x + [0,r]^d$ to the unit cube $Q = [0,1]^d$ in an orientation preserving way.

Weak tangents

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact and $\mathcal{T}_{x,r} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a similarity taking $Q(x,r) \coloneqq x + [0,r]^d$ to the unit cube $Q = [0,1]^d$ in an orientation preserving way. If there is a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{r}_n},$ such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
T_{x_n,r_n}(X)\cap Q\to T
$$

in the Hausdorff distance, then T is called a weak tangent of X . The collection of weak tangents of X is denoted by Tan (X) .

Weak tangents

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact and $\mathcal{T}_{x,r} \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a similarity taking $Q(x,r) \coloneqq x + [0,r]^d$ to the unit cube $Q = [0,1]^d$ in an orientation preserving way. If there is a sequence $\mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{x}_n,\mathsf{r}_n},$ such that $r_n \rightarrow 0$ and

$$
T_{x_n,r_n}(X)\cap Q\to T
$$

in the Hausdorff distance, then T is called a weak tangent of X . The collection of weak tangents of X is denoted by Tan (X) .

Theorem (Käenmäki-Ojala-Rossi, 2018)

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact set, then

 $\dim_A(X) = \max\{\dim_H(T): T \in \text{Tan}(X)\}.$

Indeed, the following result was proved by Mackay.

Theorem (Mackay, 2011)

If X is a self-affine carpet with sufficiently nice grid structure which projects to an interval vertically, then

$$
\dim_A X = 1 + \max \dim_H(\text{vertical slice of } X)
$$

 $= 1 + \max \dim_A(\text{vertical slice of } X)$

 \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:

- \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:
	- 1. Images of the set under long compositions of the maps in the IFS need to get thinner and thinner.

- \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:
	- 1. Images of the set under long compositions of the maps in the IFS need to get thinner and thinner.

- \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:
	- 1. Images of the set under long compositions of the maps in the IFS need to get thinner and thinner.

- \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:
	- 1. Images of the set under long compositions of the maps in the IFS need to get thinner and thinner.
	- 2. There are not too severe overlaps.

- \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:
	- 1. Images of the set under long compositions of the maps in the IFS need to get thinner and thinner.
	- 2. There are not too severe overlaps.
	- $3.$ The set needs to be large enough for the fibres to look like lines.

- \blacktriangleright For the tangents to have a fibre structure, the set needs to have three properties:
	- 1. Images of the set under long compositions of the maps in the IFS need to get thinner and thinner.
	- 2. There are not too severe overlaps.
	- $3.$ The set needs to be large enough for the fibres to look like lines.
- ▶ What are the analogues of vertical and horizontal directions in the general setting?

 \blacktriangleright Let $\alpha_1(A) > \alpha_2(A)$ denote the singular values of A.

 \blacktriangleright Let $\alpha_1(A) > \alpha_2(A)$ denote the singular values of A.

Geometrically these are the lengths of the longer and shorter semiaxes of $A(B(0,1))$, respectively.

 \blacktriangleright Let $\alpha_1(A) > \alpha_2(A)$ denote the singular values of A.

- Geometrically these are the lengths of the longer and shorter semiaxes of $A(B(0,1))$, respectively.
- ▶ We assume strict inequality.

 \blacktriangleright Let $\alpha_1(A) > \alpha_2(A)$ denote the singular values of A.

- Geometrically these are the lengths of the longer and shorter semiaxes of $A(B(0,1))$, respectively.
- \triangleright We assume strict inequality.
- Exercise Let $\vartheta(A)$ denote the line spanned by the longer semiaxis of $A(B(0, 1))$.

Domination

A self-affine set X is dominated if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \tau < 1$, such that

$$
\frac{\alpha_2(A_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot A_{i_n})}{\alpha_1(A_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot A_{i_n})}\leqslant C\tau^n,
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$.

Domination

A self-affine set X is dominated if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \tau < 1$ such that

$$
\frac{\alpha_2(A_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot A_{i_n})}{\alpha_1(A_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot A_{i_n})}\leqslant C\tau^n,
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$. Let us denote by Y_F the limit directions of $\vartheta(A_{i_1}\cdots A_{i_n})$ and by X_F the limit directions of $\vartheta(A_i^{-1})$ $\frac{-1}{i_1} \cdots A_{i_n}^{-1}$ $\frac{-1}{i_n}$

Domination

A self-affine set X is dominated if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \tau < 1$ such that

$$
\frac{\alpha_2(A_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot A_{i_n})}{\alpha_1(A_{i_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot A_{i_n})}\leqslant C\tau^n,
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$. Let us denote by Y_F the limit directions of $\vartheta(A_{i_1}\cdots A_{i_n})$ and by X_F the limit directions of $\vartheta(A_i^{-1})$ $\frac{-1}{i_1} \cdots A_{i_n}^{-1}$ $\frac{-1}{i_n}$

Lemma

If X is dominated, then the limit directions $\vartheta(A_{i_1}\cdots)$ and $\vartheta(A_i^{-1})$ $\frac{-1}{i_1} \cdots$) exist for all sequences and the convergence is uniform. Moreover, the sets Y_F and X_F are disjoint compact sets.

Bounded neighbourhood condition

A self-affine set X satisfies the bounded neighbourhood condition (BNC) if there is a constant M , such that

 $\#\{\varphi_i \mid \alpha_2(A_i) \approx r, B(x, r) \cap \varphi_i(X) \neq \emptyset\} \leq M$,

Roope Anttila University of Oulu 16.05.2024 (20/24)

Main result

Theorem (A.-Bárány-Käenmäki, 2023)

If X is a dominated self-affine set satisfying the BNC, such that $dim_H(proj_{V^{\perp}} X) = 1$ for all $V \in X_F$, then

$$
\dim_{A}(X) = 1 + \max_{\substack{x \in X \\ V \in X_{F}}} \dim_{H}(X \cap (V + x))
$$

$$
= 1 + \max_{\substack{x \in X \\ V \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{1} \setminus Y_{F}}} \dim_{A}(X \cap (V + x)).
$$

Main result

Theorem (A.-Bárány-Käenmäki, 2023)

If X is a dominated self-affine set satisfying the BNC, such that $\dim_{H}(\text{proj}_{V^{\perp}} X) = 1$ for all $V \in X_F$, then

$$
\dim_{A}(X) = 1 + \max_{\substack{x \in X \\ V \in X_{F}}} \dim_{H}(X \cap (V + x))
$$

$$
= 1 + \max_{\substack{x \in X \\ V \in \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^{1} \setminus Y_{F}}} \dim_{A}(X \cap (V + x)).
$$

 \blacktriangleright The projection condition is satisfied if the set has $\dim_H X \geqslant 1$ and the semigroup generated by the linear parts of the affine IFS is strongly irreducible.

 λ

Thank you for your attention! Questions are welcome!